ALTERNATIVES

IN	TR	OD	H	TT	ON
117	11/	\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{v}	-11	$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{I}}$

- 2 This chapter describes the alternatives selected for analysis in the EIS. Each of the action alternatives
- 3 includes both removal and rehabilitation actions. Analysis of a no action alternative is required by
- 4 NEPA and establishes a baseline of comparison for the other action alternatives. The four alternatives
- 5 are:

1

- 6 No-Action Alternative
- 7 Alternative A (Proposed Action)
- 8 Alternative B
- 9 Alternative C
- 10 Table 1 summarizes the key elements of the alternatives. The locations of all system components and
- 11 resources along the route are provided in Appendix A; Project Resource Maps.
- 12 The original right of way grants for public and state lands in New Mexico, and California state and
- private lands crossed by the route provide AT&T with a right to remove cable and equipment.
- 14 Because NEPA does not allow the segmenting of projects—for example, by whether the right to
- 15 remove exists in certain locations—it was necessary to assume some cable and equipment removal
- would occur in these areas. Therefore, all of the action alternatives include a cable and/or equipment
- 17 removal component consistent with the original terms of the right of way grants. Additional
- 18 information on the generation and selection of alternatives can be found in Appendix B; Methods for
- 19 Selecting a Range of Alternatives. A cost estimate for the action alternatives is provided in Appendix
- 20 K.

21 DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES

- 22 **No-Action Alternative**
- 23 Features of the No-Action Alternative include:
- 24 no cable or structural removal
- 25 no rehabilitation actions (including no access elimination)
- AT&T retains easements for entire right of way along the project route (220.1 miles)
- AT&T continues to maintain and patrol right of way
- 28 Under the No-Action Alternative, AT&T would not relinquish its easements for lands associated with
- 29 the coaxial cable and would continue to pay fees associated with the easements. No cable or
- 30 equipment removal would occur and AT&T would continue to patrol and perform maintenance of the
- 31 system consistent with the terms and conditions of the grants. Unrestricted use of the access road
- 32 would continue by AT&T as well as by private and public groups to access lands along the route.

- 1 Analysis of a No-Action Alternative is required by NEPA and establishes a baseline for analysis of
- 2 the action alternatives. The No-Action Alternative was not selected as the Proposed Action because it
- 3 does not meet the agencies' purpose and need for the project.

4 Alternative A (Proposed Action)

- 5 The Proposed Action includes the removal of all
- 6 cable segments and structures identified by AT&T in
- 7 its Environmental Report, as well as the agencies'
- 8 proposed rehabilitation actions, including elimination
- 9 of 40 miles of the access corridor. A profile of cable
- and equipment removal segments is shown in Figure
- 11 8.

12

Alternative A—Major Features

- ► Cable removed: 174.5 mi.
- Repeater huts/manholes removed: 220 mi.
- ► Marker posts removed: 174.2 mi.
- ► Access corridor eliminated: 39.8 mi.
- ▶ Dual track eliminated: 4 mi.

Removal Actions

- 13 Cable Removal A summary of the cable removal segments is provided in Table 2 and a more
- detailed profile of the segments is presented in Table 3.
- New Mexico Segment Near the Socorro Feed Station, the Proposed Action includes the
- removal of approximately 7.2 miles of coaxial cable along a 7.7 mile segment (from MP 0 to
- MP 41). Approximately 0.5 mile of cable along the 7.7 mile segment would not be removed
- because of cultural resource concerns. Property traversed by the project route in New Mexico
- is owned by the BLM and the State of New Mexico.
- Nevada Segment The project route in Nevada is approximately 7.4 miles long and is
- 21 located in the southern portion of the state, south of Laughlin, Nevada. For 5.7 miles (from
- MP 6000 to MP 6036), the coaxial cable and an active fiber optic cable are parallel and in
- close proximity to each other; therefore, no cable would be removed. The Proposed Action
- includes the removal of approximately 1.7 miles of coaxial cable (from MP 6036 to MP
- 25 6047), where the fiber optic cable is not present. Property traversed by the project route in
- Nevada is owned by the BLM and the State of Nevada Colorado River Commission.
- 27 California Segment The project route in California is approximately 205.2 miles long. The
- Proposed Action includes the removal of approximately 165.7 miles of coaxial cable where it
- is not close to an active fiber optic cable. No cable will be removed for 0.5 mile of the route
- 30 over Desert Butte near California City because of topography. Property traversed by the
- 31 project route in California is owned by the NPS, the BLM, the State of California, and private
- 32 landowners.
- 33 Structure Removal Permanent structures to be removed include repeater huts, manholes, and cable
- 34 MPs. Fifty-five repeater huts and 57 manholes within the 220-mile project route would be removed as
- 35 part of the Proposed Action. The repeater huts are located outside the cable easement on additional
- 36 100-foot by 100-foot easements, or on applicant fee-owned property. The manholes are located within
- 37 the cable right of way. MPs would be removed from all segments where cable is removed and would
- 38 remain where the cable is not removed.

ALTERNATIVES

- 1 One repeater hut and two manholes would be removed in New Mexico. Two repeater huts and two
- 2 manholes would be removed in Nevada. Fifty-two repeater huts and 53 manholes would be removed
- 3 in California. The location of all repeater hut sites and manholes are provided in Appendix A; Project
- 4 Resource Maps.
- 5 **Rehabilitation Actions.** As previously stated, termination of easements would require efforts to
- 6 promote the restoration of the land to the satisfaction of the jurisdictional agency, either the NPS or
- 7 the BLM. Because the original grants for the right of way on federal lands do not include specific
- 8 rehabilitation measures to be completed upon termination (BLM 1963, BLM 1963a, BLM 1964), the
- 9 NPS has identified a range of rehabilitation actions that may be implemented. Federal lands affected
- 10 by this requirement include the access corridor adjacent to the ROW and the 100-foot by 100-foot
- 11 repeater hut sites. Rehabilitation of the access corridor and the repeater hut sites would include a
- 12 range or combination of activities, such as recontouring to assist in restoring drainage patterns, soil
- 13 preparation, access control, seeding, live plantings or other reasons. Additional land compensation
- may also be considered in lieu of some or all rehabilitation measures.
- 15 Rehabilitation refers to only to the implementation of the rehabilitation actions included in the
- 16 Proposed Action to enhance the potential for revegetation and habitat recovery, and does not imply
- 17 successful or complete restoration. Due to the difficulty inherent in promoting desert revegetation, it
- 18 should not be assumed that the measures will necessarily result in partial or complete revegetation of
- 19 disturbed areas over time. Rehabilitation actions are further discussed in Appendix C; Description of
- 20 Construction Actions.
- 21 Rehabilitation would also include eliminating access along the access corridor to allow vegetation to
- 22 recover in these areas. Since installation of the original coaxial cable in the early 1960s, the adjacent
- 23 access corridor has become part of the network of travel routes in the Mojave Desert. The corridor
- 24 also traverses desert tortoise critical habitat and several wilderness areas. The Proposed Action
- 25 includes the elimination of the access corridor where the corridor is within desert tortoise critical
- habitat or in wilderness areas, and is not: 1) on private land, 2) used as a sole route of access to reach
- 27 private land, 3) used to reach designated recreation sites or areas of high recreational value, 4) used
- 28 by AT&T to patrol its fiber optic line along I-15, or used by other authorized users or 5) where
- 29 elimination would affect nearby cultural resources, specifically the Mojave Road.
- 30 Repeater Hut Site Rehabilitation The hut sites are generally barren. To promote revegetation and
- 31 enhance the habitat values of these sites, the cleared area will be rehabilitated following structural
- 32 removal.
- 33 Access Corridor Elimination and Rehabilitation The Proposed Action includes the elimination of
- 34 39.8 miles of the access corridor where it crosses wilderness areas, and in desert tortoise critical
- 35 habitat. This would reduce direct mortality impacts because of traffic, enhance recovery of desert
- 36 tortoise habitat, and eliminate vehicular travel within wilderness areas. The information used to make
- 37 this determination is presented in Appendix D; Access Information.
- 38 The proposed access elimination segments are shown on Figure 9 and Table 4. The access elimination
- 39 segments include 4.1 miles of CDFG land, 9.2 miles in the BLM Barstow Resource Area, and 26.5
- 40 miles in the Mojave National Preserve. Rehabilitation of the access elimination segments is discussed
- 41 in Appendix C; Description of Construction Actions.

- 1 Along certain portions of the corridor, dual tracks have developed where the corridor is extensively
- 2 washboarded and traffic has diverted onto a second track. Access to 4.0 miles of dual tracks will be
- 3 eliminated on federally owned critical habitat to minimize traffic affects on tortoise habitat. These
- 4 segments of dual tracks are listed in Appendix D; Access Information. The measures used to
- 5 eliminate this second track are discussed in Appendix C; Description of Construction Actions.
- 6 **Easement Relinquishment.** Once all removal actions, rehabilitation, required mitigation measures,
- 7 and private land settlements are complete, AT&T would be able to relinquish approximately 174
- 8 miles of easements where cable is removed to the owners of the underlying land. Where the cable is
- 9 parallel and in close proximity to AT&T's fiber optic cable, cable would remain in the ground, and the
- 10 federal easements for the fiber optic line will be amended to include the residual coaxial cable and
- 11 access. Once the fiber optic easements have been amended, the original federal easements for those
- 12 areas where cable remains in the ground would be terminated by NPS and BLM. Maintenance
- activities by AT&T would continue along approximately 46 miles of the 220-mile project route.
- 14 These activities would include monitoring the operating fiber optic cable, performing cable locates,
- 15 responding to vandalism and theft reports, and responding to unauthorized digging.

16 Alternative B

- 17 This alternative excludes removing the cable from
- 18 federal land in desert tortoise critical habitat, and
- 19 eliminates more of the access corridor within critical
- 20 habitat than the Proposed Action.

21 Removal Actions.

Alternative B—Major Features

- ► Cable removed: 113.7 mi.
- Repeater huts/manholes removed: 220 mi.
- ► Marker posts removed: 174.7
- ► Access corridor eliminated: 51.6 mi.
- ▶ Dual track eliminated: 4 mi.
- 22 Cable Removal The project route crosses desert tortoise critical habitat for approximately 0.6 mile
- 23 in Nevada and 100.8 miles in California. The critical habitat in Nevada is on land owned by the
- 24 BLM. The critical habitat in California includes land owned by the NPS (28.7 miles), the BLM (38.0
- 25 miles), the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)(4.1 miles), and private owners (30.1
- 26 miles).
- 27 Alternative B includes leaving the cable in the ground in federally owned critical habitat, as well as in
- 28 those areas where the cable will remain because of the presence of the parallel fiber optic line in close
- 29 proximity. Cable would be removed from private and state lands within critical habitat because the
- 30 applicant's grants provide a right of removal in these areas. Outside of critical habitat, the cable
- 31 removal segments would be the same as the Proposed Action.
- 32 Because of the checkerboard pattern of ownership in the California Desert, this alternative includes a
- dispersed set of removal segments as the route passes through federally owned and private parcels in
- 34 critical habitat areas. Removal segments are listed in Table 5; Alternative B, Summary of Cable
- 35 Removal Segments. The removal segments are shown on Figure 10: Alternative B, Cable Removal
- 36 Segments.

- 1 Structure Removal Repeater huts and access vaults would be removed from the entire 220-mile
- 2 project route as in the Proposed Action. Cable MPs would be removed from all segments, except
- 3 where the coaxial cable is parallel and in close proximity to the fiber optic line.

4 Rehabilitation Actions.

- 5 Repeater Hut Site Rehabilitation Rehabilitation will be similar to the Proposed Action, except that
- 6 access control at some repeater hut sites will not be necessary because these sites would be located
- 7 within the additional access elimination segments.
- 8 Access Corridor Elimination and Rehabilitation Approximately 51.6 miles of access elimination
- 9 are included in Alternative B. The access elimination segments include all of those mentioned for the
- 10 Proposed Action, and 11.9 miles of additional segments in critical habitat (see Table 6 and Figure 11).
- 11 These 11.9 additional miles are portions of the access corridor excluded from elimination in the
- 12 Proposed Action due to their current use by the public to reach recreational sites or areas of high
- 13 recreational value. The determination of the elimination segments is based on the information
- presented in Appendix D; Access Information.
- 15 Rehabilitation of the 51.6 miles of the access corridor would comprise the measures stated in the
- 16 Proposed Action. In addition, 4.0 miles of dual tracks would also be eliminated in the same areas as
- 17 the Proposed Action. Some of these dual tracks areas coincide with the access elimination segments
- 18 in this alternative. Where the corridor has dual tracks and is proposed for elimination, both tracks
- 19 would be eliminated and rehabilitated.
- 20 **Easement Relinquishment.** Because cable would be left in the ground on federal property, in order
- 21 for AT&T to relinquish its easements, the federal agencies and AT&T would need to reach an
- agreement regarding liability for cable that remains in the ground that is satisfactory to both parties.
- 23 Provided this occurs, AT&T could relinquish its easements as discussed under the Proposed Action.

24 Alternative C

- 25 This alternative excludes removing cable on
- 26 federal lands, and only eliminates the access
- 27 corridor where it crosses designated wilderness.

28 Removal Actions.

Alternative C—Major Features

- ► Cable removed: 72.3 mi.
- ► Repeater huts/manholes removed: 220 mi.
- ► Marker posts removed: 174.7
- ► Access corridor eliminated: 5.4 mi.
- ► Dual track eliminated: 4 mi.
- 29 Cable Removal Alternative C includes leaving the cable in the ground on federally owned land in
- 30 California and Nevada, as well as in those areas where the cable would remain because of the
- 31 presence of the parallel fiber optic line in close proximity. Cable would be removed from private and
- 32 state lands because the applicant's grants provide a right of removal in these areas. Cable removal
- 33 would include 7.2 miles in New Mexico on BLM and state lands, and 65.0 miles in California on state
- and private lands.

- 1 Because of the checkerboard pattern of ownership in the California Desert, this alternative would
- 2 consist of a dispersed set of removal sections as the route passes through federally owned and private
- 3 parcels. Removal segments are listed in Table 7: Alternative C, Summary of Cable Removal
- 4 Segments. The removal segments are shown on Figure 12; Alternative C, Cable and Equipment
- 5 Removal Segments.
- 6 Structure Removal Repeater huts and access vaults would be removed from the entire 220-mile
- 7 project route as in the Proposed Action. Cable MPs would be removed from all segments, except
- 8 where the coaxial cable is parallel in close proximity to the fiber optic line.

9 Rehabilitation Actions.

- 10 Repeater Hut Site Rehabilitation Rehabilitation would be similar to the Proposed Action, except
- 11 that access control requirements at huts where access remained would be more intensive, because of
- more residual access along the corridor (i.e., less access elimination would occur).
- 13 Access Corridor Elimination and Rehabilitation Approximately 5.4 miles of access elimination are
- included in the Alternative C. The corridor crosses 8.1 miles of wilderness in the Mojave National
- 15 Preserve. Approximately 2.7 miles of the corridor on the west side of Soda Lake, within the Mojave
- Wilderness, are used by CalNev Pipe Line Company and AT&T to patrol its other utility lines. Thus,
- 17 this alternative eliminates access within wilderness areas, except for the 2.7 miles on the west side of
- 18 Soda Lake (see Table 8 below, and Figure 13).
- 16 Rehabilitation of 5.4 miles of the access corridor would include the measures stated in the Proposed
- 17 Action. In addition, 4.0 miles of dual tracks would be eliminated in the same areas and rehabilitated
- by the same methods noted for the Proposed Action.
- 19 **Easement Relinquishment.** Because cable would be left in the ground on federal property, in order
- 20 for AT&T to relinquish its easements, the federal agencies and AT&T would need to reach an
- 21 agreement regarding liability for cable that remains in the ground that is satisfactory to both parties.
- 22 Provided this occurs, AT&T could relinquish its easements as discussed under the Proposed Action.

16 DESCRIPTION OF ACTIONS, MITIGATIONS, AND

17 SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS FOR ALL ACTION ALTERNATIVES

- 18 The construction activities for the alternatives are similar in type, but different in location and
- 19 quantity. Rehabilitation actions would occur at the repeater hut sites and along the access corridor
- 20 with specific methodologies based on the rehabilitation measures selected. Additional information on
- 21 construction methods and practices common to all action alternatives can be found in Appendix C:
- 22 Description of Construction Actions. A summary of mitigation measures related to removal and
- 23 rehabilitation actions is provided in Appendix G. A summary of significant adverse impacts and
- beneficial impacts (after mitigation) for each alternative is provided in Table 9.